

The Rank–Frequency Analysis for the Functional Style Corpora in the Ukrainian Language

Solomija N. Buk*, Andrij A. Rovenchak**

* Department for General Linguistics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
1 Universytetska St., Lviv, UA-79000, Ukraine

** Department for Theoretical Physics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,
12 Drahomanov St., Lviv, UA-79005, Ukraine

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We use the rank–frequency analysis for the estimation of Kernel Vocabulary size within specific corpora of Ukrainian. The extrapolation of high-rank behaviour is utilized for estimation of the total vocabulary size.

Key words: corpus, Ukrainian, rank–frequency dependence, vocabulary size, entropy

1 Introduction

The problem of rank–frequency analysis for texts is a very interesting one. In application to the natural languages it gives a possibility to draw the information which is necessary when compiling dictionaries, in particular, professionally-oriented dictionaries, creating text-compressors, determining the basic vocabulary for studying a language as foreign, etc.

In recent years, the development of computational techniques made it possible to study large amounts of text. Such analysis usually involves the so-called Zipf’s law (Zipf 1949) establishing the relation between the rank of a word and its frequency. It was shown that the initially supposed linear behaviour on large samples of text gets broken (Nešitoj 1987; Montemurro 2001; Cancho & Solé 2001).

Several decades ago, statistical study of the Ukrainian language was held in the Potebnja Institute of Linguistics in Kyiv (Perebyjnis 1967; Muravytska & Oleksijenko 1974). In these research works, however, the results were just established, and no special analysis was made. Unfortunately, such studies in Ukraine had been stalled for years, and only now they are revived with application of modern techniques (Demska-Kulchytska 2001).

In the paper we present results for different functional styles of Ukrainian language. Such material is novel since the statistical analysis as well as corpus studies of Ukrainian are now standing in the first stages only. While the volume of material involved in this work is quite small comparing with e. g., English, we hope that described techniques together with preliminary results will be useful in future.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section the description of sources and text processing is given. Section 3 contains the analysis of rank–frequency dependencies for different corpora due to some specific features. The possible techniques for estimation of the vocabulary size are adduced in Section 4. A brief discussion is presented in Section 5.

2 Material Overview

2.1 Definition of Terms

In this work we use the following terms:

- **Corpus** — body of collection of linguistic data, specially the one considered complete and representative, from a particular language or languages, in the form of recorded utterances or written text, which is available for theoretical or/and applied linguistic investigation (Burkhanov 1998). In the present paper we consider **text corpus** which must be distinguished from **corpus of language (national corpus)** being a structured representative collection of texts from a given language.
- **Token** — a word in any form (a sequence of letters between two spaces) in a text, e. g., the sentence *I have not seen her yet* contains six tokens;
- **Corpus size** — total number of tokens in the given corpus;
- **Vocabulary size** — number of different words in the given corpus generated by the lemmatisation process;
- **Lemmatisation** — process of the reduction of word-forms to the initial (vocabulary) form, e. g., verbs to the Infinitive, nouns to Nominative Singular, etc.
- **Vocabulary volume** — estimated number of possible different words of the language (in the content of this work we mean it within specific functional style);

2.2 Corpus Description

In this work, we analyse a middle-sized corpus of Ukrainian language. The size classification of corpora uses the Brown Standard Corpus of American English (Brown <http>) as a reference point. Its parameters are as follows: a) one million words of running text; b) 500 text samples; c) 2 thousand words per sample. Corpora with less than one million words are considered as small, corpora with 1–10 million words are middle-sized, and corpora containing more than 10 million words are large.

Total corpus size analysed in this work is about 1.7 million tokens. It consists of five sub-corpora according to main five functional styles of speech (genres).

1. The sub-corpus of *Belles-lettres Prose* contains 500 thousand tokens. The frequency data were taken from (Perebyjnis 1981). This frequency dictionary was compiled on the basis of 25 creative works, with several text pieces extracted from different places of one work. Although the time of the writings is restricted to 1945–1970, we suggest that the changes in the first three thousand most frequent words are not significant.

2. The sub-corpus of *Colloquial Style* contains about 300 thousand tokens. It consists of 45 text pieces over approximately 6,000 tokens each. Since big collections of ‘pure’ Ukrainian colloquial speech do not exist, we used modern dramas written within the last two decades (Buk 2003a). The adequacy between these two types of speech might be disputable but such a principle was used, e. g., in (Juilland et al. 1970) and (Kurcz et al. 1990).

3. The sub-corpus of *Scientific Style* was collected from 104 pieces each containing about 3,000 tokens. Its total size slightly exceeds 300 thousand tokens. The following scientific areas were represented in approximately equal parts: biology, chemistry, psychology and pedagogics, physics, mathematics, technics, geography and geology, history, linguistics (Buk 2003b).

4. *Official (business) Style* corpus was composed from texts of different kinds of documents. These are: The Constitution of Ukraine, codices, Ukrainian and international laws, international treaties, conventions, memoranda, declarations, speeches, economic documents, contracts, all types of administrative documents, etc. The size of the sub-corpus is about 300 thousand tokens.

5. *Journalistic Style* frequency statistics was taken from (FDP <http>). The correspondent corpus build on basis texts from several all-Ukrainian newspapers issued in 1994. These newspapers are addressed to both city-dwellers and villagers, and to people of different age. The size of the sub-corpus is also about 300 thousand tokens.

2.3 Text processing

At the first stage, several types of items were removed from texts. These are: numbers, word containing numbers, punctuation signs (see comment on dashes below), and words written in a non-Ukrainian script. Then, texts were processed manually for homonyms. This is a very important stage as some of these words appear with high frequency. As an example, we propose some homonym pairs (note, that stress is usually omitted in Ukrainian¹): *bráty* ('to take', verb in the Infinitive) and *bratý* ('brothers', noun in Plural, Nominative); *máty* ('to have', verb in the Infinitive) and *máty* ('mother', noun in Singular, Nominative); *niž* ('than', particle) and *niž* ('knife', noun in Singular, Nominative); *ščo* being a particle, a conjunction ('which'), and a pronoun ('what'); etc.

3 Frequency Analysis

3.1 Low ranks

The behaviour at low ranges is significantly influenced by some specific features of Ukrainian language. Several very frequent words have different forms due to the principle of so called euphony. Namely, the word *i* ('and') may appear also in the forms *j* and *ta*. The word *v* ('in') may have also forms *u* and *vvi* or *uvi* (the last two are rare).

The verb 'to be', very frequent in different language corpora, in Ukrainian can be replaced by a dash (—) or omitted at all, and therefore, it appears a bit less frequently when comparing with its rank in other languages, especially in spoken language. Note, however, that the inverse statement is incorrect. i. e., not every dash represents this verb.

In the table below we present first five most frequent words from different corpora. English statistics is based on the British National Corpus (BNC <http>). German language statistics was kindly granted by Sabine Schulte from the University of Stuttgart. Croatian corpus data is taken from (HNC <http>), and Polish is from (PWN <http>). Ukrainian statistics is collected by the authors.

Rank	English		German		Croatian		Polish		Ukrainian	
1	the	0.0619	die	0.0702	i	0.0314	w	0.0317	i	0.0371
2	be	0.0424	sein	0.0289	u	0.0276	i	0.0282	v	0.0303
3	of	0.0309	in	0.0274	je	0.0264	się	0.0192	na	0.0173
4	and	0.0268	der	0.0245	se	0.0156	na	0.0167	z	0.0166
5	a	0.0219	ein	0.0234	da	0.0130	z	0.0159	ne	0.0157

This table demonstrates that our data are consistent with other Slavic languages.

¹Hereafter for the sake of convenience we use transliteration for representing Ukrainian words according to the table given in Appendix.


3.2 Kernel Vocabulary

Zipf formulated the relation between the frequency of the word f and its rank r , basing on the ‘principle of least effort’ which he considered as one of the most important features of human behaviour, on the analogy of Poincaré’s principle of least action in physics. A slightly modified, in comparison with its original form, this dependence reads:

$$f_r = A/r^z, \quad (1)$$

where A and z are parameters, the exponent z slightly deviates from unity. (Originally Zipf put the value $z = 1$). Further, we refer this relation as Zipf’s law.

We have analysed rank–frequency dependencies for our corpora in the following way. Since the Zipf’s law (1) after taking the logarithm from both sides is linearised, it is common to express the rank–frequency relations in a log–log plot (see figures below).



The idea of the selection of Kernel Vocabulary is based on the assumption that on the ‘rank–frequency’ curve the deviation from linear (Zipf’s) behaviour corresponds to the transition to a different type of vocabulary (Montemurro 2001). The author made the analysis using the British National Corpus (BNC [http](http://www.natcorp.ox.ac.uk/)). Although the size of our corpus is far from the size of British National Corpus but anyway, as we show further, such scales already allow for conclusions on some statistical features of the text under consideration.

One can easily notice a slight change in the curve slope when moving to higher-rank region. In order to find the place where this change occurs a detailed analysis is required. We have divided the ranks into domains of 200: from 1 to 200, from 101 to 300, from 201 to 400 and so on. Then, for each domain the best-fit parameters to Zipf’s law (1) were calculated.

After making the detailed numerical analysis of data for each sub-corpus we noticed the following specific features:

- in the official, journalistic and scientific sub-corpora at some rank $r_{\max}$ the value of z changes significantly, which corresponds to the transition to a different part of the vocabulary. The values are $r_{\max} \simeq 800$ for the official sub-corpus, $r_{\max} \simeq 1000$ for the scientific one, $r_{\max} \simeq 1600$ for journalistic sub-corpus.
- in the colloquial corpus the deviation from (1) is less significant, the Zipf’s law with $z = 1.09$ describes the whole domain of ranges quite well. However, the numerical analysis allows for stating the value of $r_{\max}$ close to that of the journalistic sub-corpus.

In order to give a better understanding for the behaviour of the Zipf’s exponent we propose a visual interpretation in Fig. 3.2 below.

3.3 Entropy Comparison

It is interesting to analyse the frequency dependencies due to the entropy S :

$$S_N = - \sum_{r=1}^N f_r \ln f_r, \quad (2)$$

where N is a big number. By putting $N = 3000$ for each sub-corpus we obtained the following values: BP 2.192; CS 2.356; PS 2.368; SS 2.602; OS 2.750. While the smallest value of entropy for the belles-lettres sub-corpus looks a bit unexpected, we propose the following interpretation of the rest data. In physics, the entropy is the measure of disorder in a system. As we know from our experience, official texts are usually hardly-readable, therefore, they need more effort to be understood. In scientific texts a similar statement is a bit less applicable when taking into account the fact of reading the text by addressees — specialists in the respective field. From this point of view, the journalistic texts must be quite close to the everyday speech — and we see it from the numbers.

4 Vocabulary size estimation

Suppose one has the whole language corpus, and its vocabulary size is $\mathcal{R}$. This means that $\mathcal{R}$ is the maximal possible rank, so frequency f of the next-ranked word $f_{\mathcal{R}+1}$ will be zero. If one accepts Zipf’s dependence (1) to be valid, such situation never appears. Let us therefore accept a bit modified function (Lua 1994):

$$f_r^t = -A + Br^t, \quad (3)$$

where the exponent t is a small positive number. In this case, the value of $\mathcal{R}$ is defined as follows: $\mathcal{R} = (A/B)^{1/t}$. Typical values of t are of order 0.1. Thus, the estimation of the vocabulary size




Figure 1: Zipf's exponent behaviour showing the transition between different types of vocabulary.

for the specific functional genre gives the values 200 to 700 hundred different words. A more precise estimation will be made after larger corpus is analysed.

5 Discussion

We analysed the rank–frequency relations for the middle-sized corpus of the Ukrainian language. The data for the first most ranked words are consistent with other Slavic languages. The presented results allows for the establishing of the Kernel vocabulary and vocabulary size estimation. The entropy was calculated for different functional genres. We hope that our data will be useful when compiling the National corpus of the Ukrainian language. A more precise results will be available after larger corpus is considered.

References

- [BNC [http](http://ftp.itri.bton.ac.uk/bnc/)] British National Corpus (BNC):
[ftp://ftp.itri.bton.ac.uk/bnc/](http://ftp.itri.bton.ac.uk/bnc/); <http://www.natcorp.ox.ac.uk>
- [Brown [http](http://khnt.hit.uib.no/icame/manuals/brown/INDEX.HTM#bc9)] Brown Standard Corpus:
<http://khnt.hit.uib.no/icame/manuals/brown/INDEX.HTM#bc9>

- [Buk 2003a] Buk, S. (2003). “Častotnyj slovnyk rozmovno-pobutovoho stylju sučasnoji ukrajinsjkoji movy”. [Colloquial Genre Frequency Dictionary of Modern Ukrainian Language] *Lingvistyčni Studiji (Donetsk University)* 11 (Part I), 266–271.
- [Buk 2003b] Buk, S. (2003). “Častotnyj slovnyk naukovoho stylju sučasnoji ukrajinsjkoji movy”. [Scientific Genre Frequency Dictionary of Modern Ukrainian Language] *Cherkasy University Herald. Ser. philol.* 44, 90–96.
- [Burkhanov 1998] Burkhanov, I. (1998). *Lexicography: A Dictionary of Basic Terminology*. Rzeszów: Wydawnictwo wyższej szkoły pedagogicznej.
- [Cancho & Solé 2001] Cancho, R. F., & Solé, R. V. (2001). “Two regimes in the frequency of words and the origins of complex lexicons: Zipf’s law revisited”. *Journal of Quantitative Linguistics* 8(3), 165–173.
- [HNC http] Croatian National Corpus (HNC, 9.156.446 tokens): <http://www.hnk.ffzg.hr/corpus.htm>
- [FDP http] Častotnyj slovnyk publicystyky. [Frequency dictionary of publicism]: <http://80.78.37.38/freqcard.aspx?sl=publicist>
- [Demska-Kulchytska 2001] Demska-Kulchytska O. (2001). “Korpus tekstov ukrainskoj periodiki”. [Ukrainian periodicals text corpus] In: *Issledovanie slavjanskix jazykov v rusle tradicij sravnitelno-istoričeskogo i sopostavitel’nogo jazykoznanija*. Moskow. 26–28
- [Juilland et al. 1970] Juilland, A., Brodin, D., Davidovich, C. (1970). *Frequency Dictionary of French Words*. The Hague–Paris.
- [PWN http] Korpus Języka Polskiego Wydawnictwa Naukowego PWN. [Polish language corpus of Scientific publishing house PWN]: <http://korpus.pwn.pl/>
- [Kurcz et al. 1990] Kurcz, I., Lewicki, A., Sambor, J., Szafran, K., Woronczak, J. (1990). *Słownik frekwencyjny polszczyzny współczesnej*. [Frequency Dictionary of Contemporary Polish]. Kraków: PAN, Instytut Języka Polskiego.
- [Lua 1994] Lua, K. T. (1994). “Frequency–Rank Curves and Entropy for Chinese Characters and Words”. *Computer Processing of Chinese & Oriental Languages* 8, 37–52.
- [Montemurro 2001] Montemurro, M. A. (2001). “Beyond the Zipf–Mandelbrot law in quantitative linguistics”. *Physica A* 300, 567–578.
- [Montemurro & Zanette 2002] Montemurro, M. A., & Zanette, D. H. (2002). “New perspectives of Zipf’s law in linguistics: from single texts to large corpora”. *Glottometrics* 4, 87–99.
- [Muravytska & Oleksijenko 1974] Muravytska, M. P. and Oleksijenko, L. A. (eds.) (1974). *Struktura movy i statystyka movlennja*. [Structure of language and statistics of speech]. Kyiv: Naukova Dumka.
- [Nešitoj 1987] Nešitoj, V. V. (1987). “About the form of representing rank distributions”. *Tartu Riikliku Ülikooli Toimetised* 774, 123–134.
- [Perebyjnis 1967] Perebyjnis, V. S. (1967). *Statystyčni parametry styliv*. [Statistical parameters of styles]. Kyiv: Naukova Dumka.

- [Perebyjnis 1981] Perebyjnis, V. S. (ed.) (1981). *Častotnyj slovnyk sučasnoji ukrajinsjkoji xudožnjoji prozy*. Kyiv: Naukova Dumka.
- [Zipf 1949] Zipf, G. K. (1949). *Human behavior and the principle of least effort*. Reading, Mass.: Addison-Wesley.

Appendix

А	А	Ї:	У	U
Б	В	<cons>+Ї	Ф	F
В	В	Ї+A,E,I,Y	Х	X
Г	Н	J'+A,E,I,U	Ц	C
Г	Г	other	Ч	Č
Д	D	К	Ш	Š
Е	E	Л	Щ	ŠČ
Є	JE	М	Ь	
Ж	Ž	Н	<cons>+Ь	<cons>+J
З	Z	О	(no other combinations)	
И	Y	П		
І	I	Р	Ю	JU
Ї	JI	С	Я	JA
		T		

apostrophe (') and hyphen (-) are preserved
 <cons> represents one of the following letters:
 Б, В, Г, І, Д, Ж, З, К, Л, М, Н, П, Р, С, Т, Ф, Х, Ц, Ч, Ш, Щ

Figure 2: Ukrainian Transliteration Table.

This transliteration scheme is free of ambiguity and allows for making bi-directional transliterations. While in some places it seems to be a bit complicated, in the practical applications difficult letter combinations appear very rarely. In addition, it is concordant with some Slavic written systems based on Latin script.